2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7		WASHINGTON	
8	PEND OREILLE COU	INTY SUPERIOR COURT	
9	STATE OF WASHINGTON, DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY,	NO.	
10	Plaintiff,		
11	V.	CONSENT DECREE	
12	LEHIGH CEMENT COMPANY,		
13	Defendant.		
14			
	TABLE O	F CONTENTS	
15			<u>Page</u>
	I. INTRODUCTION]	Page 3
15	I. INTRODUCTIONII. JURISDICTION]	<u>Page</u> 3 5 5
15 16 17	I. INTRODUCTIONII. JURISDICTIONIII. PARTIES BOUNDIV. DEFINITIONS		3 5 5
15 16	I. INTRODUCTION		3 5 5 6
15 16 17	I. INTRODUCTION	RDINATORS	3 5 6 7 . 13
15 16 17 18 19	I. INTRODUCTION	RDINATORS	3 5 6 7 . 13 . 14
15 16 17 18	I. INTRODUCTION	RDINATORS	3 5 6 7 . 13 . 14 . 15
15 16 17 18 19	I. INTRODUCTION	RDINATORS	3 5 6 7 . 13 . 14 . 15 . 15
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I. INTRODUCTION	RDINATORSG, AND AVAILABILITY	3 5 6 7 . 13 . 14 . 15 . 15 . 16 . 17
15 16 17 18 19 20	I. INTRODUCTION	RDINATORSG, AND AVAILABILITY	3 5 6 7 . 13 . 14 . 15 . 15 . 16 . 17 . 18 . 18
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I. INTRODUCTION	RDINATORS	3 5 6 7 . 13 . 14 . 15 . 15 . 16 . 17 . 18 . 18 . 19 . 20
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I. INTRODUCTION	RDINATORS	3 5 6 7 . 13 . 14 . 15 . 15 . 16 . 17 . 18 . 18 . 19 . 20 . 21
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I. INTRODUCTION	RDINATORS	3 5 5 6 7 . 13 . 14 . 15 . 15 . 16 . 17 . 18 . 18 . 19 . 20 . 21
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I. INTRODUCTION	RDINATORS	3 5 6 7 . 13 . 14 . 15 . 16 . 17 . 18 . 19 . 20 . 21 . 22 . 23 . 25
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	I. INTRODUCTION	RDINATORS	3 5 6 7 . 13 . 14 . 15 . 15 . 16 . 17 . 18 . 19 . 20 . 21 . 22 . 23 . 25 . 25

1	XXII.	INDEMNIFICATION	
	XXIII.	COMPLIANCE WITH APPLICABLE LAWS	
2	XXIV. XXV.	REMEDIAL AND INVESTIGATIVE COSTSIMPLEMENTATION OF REMEDIAL ACTION	
3	XXVI.	PERIODIC REVIEW	30
3	XXVI.	PUBLIC PARTICIPATION	
4	XXVIII.	DURATION OF DECREE.	32
•	XXIX.	CLAIMS AGAINST THE STATE	32
5	XXX.	EFFECTIVE DATE	32
	XXXI.	PUBLIC NOTICE AND WITHDRAWAL OF CONSENT	32
6		F 171 A C P	
_		Exhibit A - Site Diagrams Eigens A 1 (Site Diagram Overview)	
7		 Figure A-1 (Site Diagram Overview) Figure A-2 (Site Diagram Features) 	
8		• Figure A-2 (Site Diagram Features)	
0		Exhibit B - Cleanup Action Plan	
9		• Figure 1 (Site Location Map)	
		 Figure 2 (Site Diagram Features) 	
10		• Figure 3 (Alternative 1)	
		• Figure 4 (Alternative 2)	
11		Figure 5 (Alternative 3)Figure 6 (Alternative 4)	
12		• Figure 7 (Alternative 4)	
12		• Figure 8 (Alternative 6)	
13		Table 1 (Indicator Substance Screening)	
		 Table 2 (Method B Cleanup Level Development) 	
14		Table 3 (Hazard Index and Cleanup Levels)	
		 Table 4 (Applicable Relevant and Appropriate Requirements) 	
15		Exhibit C - Scope of Work and Schedule	
16		Exhibit C - Scope of work and Schedule	
10		Exhibit D - Public Participation Plan	
17		• Appendix A (Site Diagram Overview)	
		Appendix B (Mailing List)	
18		 Appendix C (Glossary) 	
1.0		Entitle Committee Committee Date Colonia 1D annion and	
19		Exhibit E - Ground Water Sampling Data Submittal Requirements	
20		Exhibit F - Restrictive Covenant (Declaration of Covenant)	
20		Attachment A (Legal Description)	
21		Attachment B (As-built Drawings)	
		 Attachment C (Operations and Maintenance Plan) 	
22			
•		Exhibit G - Post-Closure Care and Maintenance Plan	
23		 Appendix A (Survey Plat and Plan) 	
24			
24			
25			
26			
	l		

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	ı

I. INTRODUCTION

- A. In entering into this Consent Decree (Decree), the mutual objective of the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) and Lehigh Cement Company (Lehigh) is to provide for remedial action at a facility where there has been a release or threatened release of hazardous substances. This Decree requires Lehigh to undertake the following remedial action(s) at the Lehigh Cement Company Closed Cement Kiln Dust (CKD) Pile Site (Site) (see Exhibit A):
- (1) Install, operate and maintain an in-situ groundwater treatment system east of the Closed Cement Kiln Dust (CKD) Pile between Highway 31 and Sullivan Creek. The treatment system will consist of a hydraulic barrier that intercepts contaminated groundwater and directs it toward a treatment corridor. The treatment corridor will contain silicone tubes suspended within perforated plastic piping. Carbon dioxide will diffuse through the silicone tubing resulting in carbonic acid production, which will lower the pH. This, in turn, will decrease concentrations of metals in groundwater. Concentrations of arsenic, lead, chromium, and manganese and levels of pH in the groundwater exceed MTCA cleanup levels.
- (2) Install, operate, and maintain a gravity drain along the southern edge of the Closed CKD Pile. The drain will intercept groundwater and redirect it away from the Closed CKD Pile. The intercepted water will be routed in the subsurface to an area south of the hydraulic barrier, unless it exceeds cleanup levels and requires treatment.
- (3) Provide for groundwater monitoring in accordance with the Compliance Monitoring Plan approved by Ecology.
- (4) Provide for and maintain institutional controls in the form of restrictive covenants, fences, and signs.
- (5) Provide for the operation and maintenance of the cover and stormwater system for the Closed CKD Pile.

_	
2	the en
3	
4	An A
5	case.
6	additi
7	in the
8	these
9	
10	terms
11	
12	Partie
13	Partie
14	perso
15	
16	for co
17	correc
18	care,
19	throug
20	The re
21	
22	releas
23	provid
24	Ecolo
25	
26	cause

1 |

Ecology has determined that these actions are necessary to protect human health and the environment.

- B. The Complaint in this action is being filed simultaneously with this Decree. An Answer has not been filed, and there has not been a trial on any issue of fact or law in this case. However, the Parties wish to resolve the issues raised by Ecology's Complaint. In addition, the Parties agree that settlement of these matters without litigation is reasonable and in the public interest, and that entry of this Decree is the most appropriate means of resolving these matters.
- C. In signing this Decree, the Parties agree to its entry and agree to be bound by its terms.
- D. By entering into this Decree, the Parties do not intend to discharge non-settling Parties from any liability they may have with respect to matters alleged in the Complaint. The Parties retain the right to seek reimbursement, in whole or in part, from any other liable persons for sums expended under this Decree.
- E. The requirements of this Decree will concurrently satisfy Lehigh's obligations for corrective action, as set forth in WAC 173-303-64620 (including financial assurance for corrective action). Lehigh has previously taken action to satisfy requirements for post-closure care, as set forth in WAC 173-303-400(3), incorporating by reference 40 C.F.R. § 265.117 through 265.119, as well as in WAC 173-303-400(3)(c)(vi) and WAC 173-303-620(5) and (6). The requirements of this Decree continue to satisfy Lehigh's obligations for post-closure care.
- F. This Decree shall not be construed as proof of liability or responsibility for any releases of hazardous substances or cost for remedial action nor an admission of any facts; provided, however, that Lehigh shall not challenge the authority of the Attorney General and Ecology to enforce this Decree.
- G. The Court is fully advised of the reasons for entry of this Decree, and good cause having been shown:

2	II. JURISDICTION
3	A. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter and over the Parties pursuant
4	to Chapter 70.105D RCW, the Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA).
5	B. Authority is conferred upon the Washington State Attorney General by RCW
6	70.105D.040(4)(a) to agree to a settlement with any potentially liable person if, after public
7	notice and any required hearing, Ecology finds the proposed settlement would lead to a more
8	expeditious cleanup of hazardous substances. RCW 70.105D.040(4)(b) requires that such a
9	settlement be entered as a Consent Decree issued by a court of competent jurisdiction.
10	C. Ecology has determined that a release or threatened release of hazardous
11	substances has occurred at the Site.
12	D. Ecology has given notice to Lehigh of Ecology's determination that Lehigh is a
13	potentially liable person for the Site, as required by RCW 70.105D.020(16) and WAC
14	173-340-500.
15	E. The actions to be taken pursuant to this Decree are necessary to protect public
16	health and the environment.
17	F. This Decree has been subject to public notice and comment.
18	G. Ecology finds that this Decree will lead to a more expeditious cleanup of
19	hazardous substances at the Site in compliance with the cleanup standards established under
20	RCW 70.105D.030(2)(e) and Chapter 173-340 WAC.
21	H. Lehigh has agreed to undertake the actions specified in this Decree and consents
22	to the entry of this Decree under MTCA.
23	III. PARTIES BOUND
24	This Decree shall apply to and be binding upon the Parties to this Decree, their
25	successors, and assigns. The undersigned representative of each party hereby certifies that he
26	or she is fully authorized to enter into this Decree and to execute and legally bind such party to
'	•

Now, therefore, it is HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED as follows:

1 |

1	comply with the Decree. Lehigh agrees to undertake all actions required by the terms and
2	conditions of this Decree. No change in ownership or corporate status shall alter Lehigh's
3	responsibility under this Decree. Lehigh shall provide a copy of this Decree to all agents,
4	contractors, and subcontractors retained to perform work required by this Decree. Lehigh shall
5	use best efforts to ensure that all work undertaken by such agents, contractors, and
6	subcontractors complies with this Decree, with the understanding that Lehigh is responsible for
7	compliance with this Decree.
8	IV. DEFINITIONS
9	Except as specified herein, all definitions in RCW 70.105D.020 and WAC 173-340-200
10	apply to the terms in this Decree.
11	A. <u>Site</u> : The Site, referred to as the Lehigh Cement Company Closed Cement Kiln
12	Dust Pile Site, is generally located in the southeast quarter of Section 21, Township 39 North,
13	Range 43 East, Willamette Meridian (approximately Milepost 14.67 on Washington State
14	Route 31) near the town of Metaline Falls, Washington. The Site is more particularly
15	described in Exhibit A to this Decree, which includes a detailed Site diagram. The Site
16	constitutes a "facility" under RCW 70.105D.020(4).
17	B. <u>Parties</u> : Refers to the Washington State Department of Ecology and Lehigh
18	Cement Company.
19	C. <u>Defendant</u> : Refers to Lehigh Cement Company.
20	D. <u>Consent Decree</u> or <u>Decree</u> : Refers to this Consent Decree and each of the
21	exhibits to the Decree. All exhibits are integral and enforceable parts of this Consent Decree.
22	The terms "Consent Decree" or "Decree" shall include all exhibits to the Consent Decree.
23	
24	
25	
26	

1	V. STATEMI
2	Ecology makes the following finding
3	admissions by Lehigh.
4	1. Lehigh owned and operated the
5	1989. From the early 1950s through 1989, ce
6	production of Portland cement, was disposed in
7	the CKD pile) located in the southeast quarter
8	East, Willamette Meridian (WM) (see Exhibit A
9	surrounding land to the Lafarge Corporation. L
10	Evidence of Lehigh's ownership of the landfil
11	landfill is contained in the following documents:
12	 Dangerous Waste Permit Application Lehigh on November 5, 1984;
13	 Notification of Dangerous Waste A
14	Lehigh on November 16, 1984, Janua
15	 Generator Annual Dangerous Wassessubmitted to Ecology by Lehigh.
16	2. Lehigh owned and operated the C
17	1984, when CKD became subject to regula
18	Management Act (Chapter 70.105 RCW) and it
19	(Chapter 173-303 WAC).
20	3. Prior to 1984, CKD was exempt
21	waste by both the federal government and the
22	exemption was withdrawn by the state. CK
23	hazardous waste regulations [40 CFR 261.4(b)(8
24	

ENT OF FACTS

gs of fact without any express or implied

- Metaline Falls cement plant from 1914 until ement kiln dust (CKD), a byproduct from the n an on-site landfill (also referred to herein as of Section 21, Township 39 North, Range 43 A). In 1989, Lehigh sold the cement plant and ehigh retained ownership of the CKD landfill. ll and the company's disposal of CKD to the
 - on (Forms 1 and 3), submitted to Ecology by
 - activities (Form 2), submitted to Ecology by ary 23, 1986, and April 25, 1990; and
 - te Reports for 1986, 1987, 1988, and 1989,
- CKD landfill as a dangerous waste facility after ation under the amended Hazardous Waste ts implementing Dangerous Waste Regulations
- from regulation as a hazardous or dangerous ne State of Washington. In 1984, the CKD D is currently still exempt from the federal 3)].

25

- 4. On November 16, 1984, Lehigh submitted a "Notification of Dangerous Waste Activities" (Form 2) to notify Ecology that Lehigh would be generating and disposing dangerous waste (CKD) at the Metaline Falls cement plant.
- 5. Pursuant to the November 16, 1984 notification, Lehigh was issued EPA/State identification number WAD 009063116. When Lehigh sold the cement plant in 1989, the identification number was transferred to the Lafarge Corporation, the new owner of the Metaline Falls cement plant. On August 17, 1995, Lehigh submitted a new "Notification of Dangerous Waste Activities" for the 13-acre tract on which Lehigh's CKD landfill is located. EPA/State identification number WAR 000004598 was assigned to the landfill. The new number was issued with a retroactive start date of May 31, 1989, the date of sale of the cement plant.
- 6. On November 5, 1984, Lehigh submitted Part A of the Dangerous Waste Permit Application to Ecology. Upon submittal of the Part A application, the Lehigh CKD landfill became an *interim status* dangerous waste treatment, storage, and disposal (TSD) facility.
- 7. Lehigh did not submit Part B of the Dangerous Waste Permit Application, which is required to obtain a *final facility* TSD permit. Instead, Lehigh closed the CKD landfill in accordance with all closure performance standards for *interim status* TSD facilities, which are given in the Washington Dangerous Waste Regulations (WAC 173-303-400), and by reference in the federal hazardous waste regulations (40 CFR Part 265, Subparts F through R).
- 8. Ecology has determined the Lehigh CKD landfill is a dangerous waste facility as defined in WAC 173-303-040. The dangerous waste facility includes the landfill and properties adjacent to the landfill, regardless of control, which are affected by releases of dangerous constituents from the landfill.
- 9. In 1992 and 1993, Lehigh conducted an investigation to characterize the CKD landfill and to evaluate the quality of groundwater beneath and adjacent to it. Releases

and/or potential releases of dangerous constituents from the CKD landfill were documented in the following reports:

- Preliminary Site Characterization Report, dated December 17, 1991, prepared by Dames & Moore Consultants; and
- Addendum Preliminary Site Characterization Report, Metaline Falls, Washington, dated October 5, 1993, prepared by Dames & Moore Consultants.

These reports document that surface and subsurface samples of CKD were collected and analyzed, and groundwater monitoring wells were installed through and adjacent to the CKD landfill. Several of the CKD samples analyzed failed the acute static fish toxicity test [WAC 173-303-110(3)(b)], demonstrating the CKD designated as a Washington state-only dangerous waste based on the *toxicity criteria* [WAC 173-303-100(5)] at the time of testing. Geochemical analyses of the CKD samples also demonstrated some of the CKD samples were strongly alkaline (pH>12.5 standard units), indicating at least part of the CKD pile also designated as a dangerous waste based on the *characteristic of corrosivity* [WAC 173-303-090(6)]. Geochemical analyses of groundwater samples collected from monitoring wells demonstrated that groundwater in some areas beneath and adjacent to the CKD landfill were strongly alkaline (pH up to 13.9 standard units) and contained concentrations of arsenic and lead, which exceeded Method A groundwater cleanup levels established under MTCA (Chapter 70.105D RCW and Chapter 173-340 WAC).

- 10. On April 11, 1996, Lehigh submitted to Ecology the "Final Closure Plan, Cement Kiln Dust Pile, Metaline Falls, Washington" for closure of the CKD landfill. Ecology reviewed the plan, and on May 31, 1996 issued a letter to Lehigh outlining deficiencies in the plan. On June 7, 1996, Lehigh submitted a revised "Final Closure Plan." Ecology reviewed and approved the revised plan in a letter to Lehigh dated June 13, 1996.
- 11. Lehigh implemented the approved closure plan during 1996. The CKD landfill was "closed" by constructing an "impermeable" cover on the surface of the CKD to minimize

surface water infiltration and by constructing a stormwater management system to convey surface water run-on and run-off to and from the landfill. Components of the cover include a geosynthetic clay liner, a drainage geocomposite (geonet), reinforcement geotextile, and cover soils. The stormwater management system consists of catch basins, internal and external drainpipes, and a sediment basin. Closure certification, including documentation of the construction of the cover and stormwater management system, is given in the "Closure Report for Cement Kiln Dust (CKD) Pile, Metaline Falls, Washington", dated June 17, 1997.

- 12. Because a dangerous waste (CKD) remains in the landfill after closure, all "clean closure" requirements in the Dangerous Waste Regulations have not been satisfied. As a result, post-closure care of the Closed CKD Pile is required. On July 20, 1995 Lehigh submitted to Ecology its "Post-Closure Care and Maintenance Plan," which describes maintenance and monitoring requirements for the Closed CKD landfill. The Post-Closure Care and Maintenance Plan is Exhibit G to this Decree. (The monitoring requirements in Section 5 of Exhibit G are superseded by the requirements of the Compliance Monitoring Plan developed pursuant to Exhibit C, Scope of Work and Schedule.)
- 13. On December 3, 1996, Ecology issued Administrative Order No. DE96HS-E934, which required Lehigh to submit and implement another plan for short-term post-closure care of the Closed CKD Pile. The Order remained in effect until two years of post-closure groundwater monitoring data were collected.
- 14. On July 8, 1997, Lehigh submitted to Ecology the "Short-Term Post-Closure Care Plan, Cement Kiln Dust (CKD) Pile, Metaline Falls, Washington," which documented Lehigh's plans for groundwater monitoring and for maintenance of the cover and stormwater management system during the "short-term" post-closure period.
- 15. Between December 1996 and December 1998, Lehigh collected groundwater monitoring data on a monthly basis. Lehigh also maintained and repaired the cover and stormwater management system during that time period.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1 |

- 16. In April 1999, Lehigh submitted to Ecology the "Post-Closure Care Groundwater Monitoring Data Review, Closed Cement Kiln Dust Pile, Metaline Falls, Washington," a report summarizing post-closure groundwater monitoring data collected between December 1996 and December 1998. These data indicated that leachate continued to emanate from the Closed CKD Pile during the short-term post-closure monitoring period, contaminating groundwater beneath and downgradient of the Closed CKD Pile. The contaminated groundwater was strongly alkaline and contained elevated concentrations of arsenic. Elevated concentrations of lead and total chromium also were detected sporadically. As a result, corrective action was required pursuant to WAC 173-303-64620.
- 17. Corrective action responsibilities may be fulfilled under an enforceable action issued pursuant to MTCA. In 1999, Ecology and Lehigh executed Agreed Order No. DE99HS-E941, which required Lehigh to conduct a remedial investigation and feasibility study under MTCA to address groundwater impacts from the Closed CKD Pile. In 2001, Ecology and Lehigh executed the First Amended Agreed Order No. DE99HS-E941.
- 18. Lehigh performed the remedial investigation, submitting a Draft Remedial Investigation Report to Ecology in February 2000 and a Final Remedial Investigation Report (RI Report) to Ecology in 2001. The RI Report stated that contaminated groundwater flowed northeast from the Closed CKD Pile, beneath State Route 31 and onto three parcels of property located in the southeast quarter of Section 21 and the southwest quarter of Section 22, T. 39 N., R. 43 E. (WM). These properties are currently owned by Lehigh.
- 19. Some of the most highly contaminated groundwater apparently flows beneath and parallel to the former course of "North Creek" (now backfilled), a ditch that formerly transmitted water from the North Culvert beneath State Route 31 to Sullivan Creek. Until late 1998, the contaminated groundwater emerged to the surface at several locations on the northeast side of the highway, but in November 1998 Lehigh backfilled with sediments a

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

low-lying area on properties then owned by the Washington Department of Transportation (WDOT) and Cameron Grant, thereby preventing the contaminated groundwater from reaching the surface near State Route 31. This action also reduced the potential for direct contact with high pH groundwater surfacing in the area. However, contaminated groundwater still emerges to the surface along the west bank of Sullivan Creek near the mouth of "North Creek."

- 20. Data presented in the RI Report indicated that groundwater beneath and downgradient of the CKD landfill was strongly alkaline and contained elevated concentrations of arsenic and lead. The contaminated groundwater discharges into Sullivan Creek.
- 21. Following completion of the remedial investigation, Lehigh installed a pilot In Situ Groundwater Treatment Wall in order to assess the delivery and treatment capability of in-situ carbon dioxide diffusion. The subsurface pilot permeable treatment wall demonstrated the ability to lower groundwater pH to within the range of 6.5 to 8.5, which leads to lower concentrations of arsenic in groundwater.
- 22. In 2003, Lehigh submitted to Ecology a "Feasibility Study Technical Memorandum" containing a preliminary screening of remedial alternatives for the Site. Also in 2003, Lehigh submitted to Ecology a draft "Feasibility Study Technical Report" (dFSTR) that evaluated a narrower list of potential remedial alternatives. Lehigh revised the dFSTR and submitted the new version to Ecology on March 3, 2005. Ecology approved the revised dFSTR by letter dated April 27, 2005, subject to Lehigh submitting some replacement pages. Lehigh submitted the replacement pages in May 2005. The public comment period for the final Revised dFSTR followed. Ecology addressed the public comments and then approved the final Revised dFSTR.
- 23. Based on the RI and final Revised dFSTR, Ecology prepared a draft Cleanup Action Plan for the Site.

25

24

1	VI. WORK TO BE PERFORMED
2	This Decree contains a program designed to protect human health and the environment
3	from the known release, or threatened release, of hazardous substances at, on, or from the Site.
4	1. Lehigh shall implement the Cleanup Action Plan (CAP), Exhibit B, and all
5	attachments developed for the CAP pursuant to its terms. The CAP provides for the following
6	actions:
7	(a) In accordance with the Scope of Work and Schedule, Exhibit C.
8	installing, operating, and maintaining an in-situ groundwater treatment system east of the
9	Closed CKD Pile between State Route 31 and Sullivan Creek. The treatment system will
10	consist of a hydraulic barrier that will intercept contaminated groundwater and direct it toward
11	a treatment corridor.
12	(b) In accordance with the Scope of Work and Schedule, Exhibit C,
13	installing, operating, and maintaining a gravity drain along the southern edge of the Closed
14	CKD Pile to direct uncontaminated groundwater away from the Closed CKD Pile.
15	(c) Providing for groundwater monitoring to assess treatment system
16	performance in accordance with the Scope of Work and Schedule, Exhibit C, and the Ground
17	Water Sampling Data Submittal Requirements, Exhibit E.
18	(d) In accordance with the Scope of Work and Schedule, Exhibit C,
19	providing for and maintaining institutional controls in the form of: (1) fences; (2) signs; and
20	(3) recording a restrictive covenant, Exhibit F (Declaration of Covenant), as provided in
21	Section XX of this Decree.
22	(e) Providing for operation and maintenance of the cover and stormwater
23	system for the Closed CKD Pile, in accordance with the Post-Closure Care and Maintenance
24	Plan (Exhibit G).
25	
26	
,	

1	2. Lehigh agrees not to perform any remedial actions outside the scope of this
2	Decree unless the Parties agree to modify the Scope of Work to cover these actions. All work
3	conducted by Lehigh under this Decree shall be done in accordance with Chapter 173-340
4	WAC unless otherwise provided herein.
5	3. All Exhibits to this Decree are incorporated by reference as integral and
6	enforceable parts of the Decree.
7	VII. DESIGNATED PROJECT COORDINATORS
8	The project coordinator for Ecology is:
9	Name: Mr. William Fees
10	Address: Department of Ecology 4601 North Monroe
11	Spokane, WA 99205-1296 Telephone: (509) 329-3589
12	FAX: (509) 329-3572 The president coordinator for Labiah is:
13	The project coordinator for Lehigh is:
14	Name: Ms. Elizabeth Mikols Address: Lehigh Cement Company
15	7660 Imperial Way Allentown, PA 18195
16	Telephone: (610) 366-4753 FAX: (610) 366-4684
17	Each project coordinator shall be responsible for overseeing the implementation of this
18	Decree. The Ecology project coordinator will be Ecology's designated representative for the
19	Site. To the maximum extent possible, communications between Ecology and Lehigh and all
20	documents, including reports, approvals, and other correspondence concerning the activities
21	performed pursuant to the terms and conditions of this Decree shall be directed through the
22	project coordinators. The project coordinators may designate, in writing, working level staff
23	contacts for all or portions of the implementation of the remedial work required by this Decree
24	The project coordinators may agree to minor changes to the work to be performed without
25	formal amendments to this Decree. Minor changes will be documented in writing by Ecology

Substantial changes shall require amendment of this Consent Decree.

Any Party may change its respective project coordinator. Written notification shall be given to the other Party at least ten (10) calendar days prior to the change.

VIII. PERFORMANCE

All work performed pursuant to this Decree shall be under the direction and supervision, as necessary, of a licensed professional engineer or licensed hydrogeologist, or equivalent, with experience and expertise in hazardous waste site investigation and cleanup. Ecology acknowledges that Lehigh's current consultant, GeoSyntec Consultants, meets the requirements of this Section VIII. If Lehigh subsequently retains a different consultant, Lehigh shall notify Ecology in writing of the identity of such engineer(s) or hydrogeologist(s), or others, and of any contractors and subcontractors to be used in carrying out the terms of this Decree, in advance of their involvement at the Site.

Any construction work performed pursuant to this Decree shall be under the supervision of a professional engineer or a qualified technician under the direct supervision of a professional engineer. The professional engineer must be registered in the State of Washington, except as provided in RCW 18.43.130.

IX. ACCESS

Ecology or any Ecology authorized representative shall have full authority to enter and freely move about all property at the Site that Lehigh either owns, controls, or has access rights to at all reasonable times for the purposes of, *inter alia*: inspecting records, operation logs, and contracts related to the work being performed pursuant to this Decree; reviewing Lehigh's progress in carrying out the terms of this Decree; conducting such tests or collecting such samples as Ecology may deem necessary; using a camera, sound recording, or other documentary type equipment to record work done pursuant to this Decree; and verifying the data submitted to Ecology by Lehigh. Lehigh shall make all reasonable efforts to secure access rights for those properties within the Site not owned or controlled by Lehigh where remedial

actions will be performed pursuant to this Decree. Due to the remote location of the Site, Ecology or any Ecology authorized representative shall give Lehigh notice 48 hours before entering any portion of the Site owned or controlled by Lehigh unless an emergency prevents such notice. All Parties who access the Site pursuant to this paragraph shall comply with the approved Health and Safety Plans. Ecology employees and their representatives shall not be required to sign any liability release or waiver as a condition of access.

X. SAMPLING, DATA REPORTING, AND AVAILABILITY

With respect to the implementation of this Decree, Lehigh shall make the results of all sampling, laboratory reports, and test results generated by it or on its behalf available to Ecology and shall submit these results in accordance with Section XI of this Decree.

All sampling data shall be submitted to Ecology according to the requirements of WAC 173-340-840(5) and the Ground Water Sampling Data Submittal Requirements, Exhibit E. Groundwater sampling data shall also be submitted in an electronic format agreed to by Ecology's project coordinator. These submittals shall be provided to Ecology in accordance with Section XI of this Decree.

If requested by Ecology, Lehigh shall allow split or duplicate samples to be taken by Ecology or its authorized representative of any samples collected by Lehigh pursuant to the implementation of this Decree. Lehigh shall notify Ecology seven (7) days in advance of any sample collection or non-routine work activity at the Site, unless an emergency prevents such notice. Ecology shall, upon request, allow split or duplicate samples to be taken by Lehigh or its authorized representative of any samples collected by Ecology pursuant to the implementation of this Decree provided it does not interfere with Ecology's sampling. Without limitation on Ecology's rights under Section IX, Ecology shall provide Lehigh the same seven (7) day notice prior to any sample collection activity unless an emergency prevents such notice.

1	In accordance with WAC 173-340-830(2)(a), all hazardous substance analyses shall be
2	conducted by a laboratory accredited under Chapter 173-50 WAC for the specific analyses to
3	be conducted, unless otherwise approved by Ecology.
4	XI. PROGRESS REPORTS
5	1. Lehigh shall submit to Ecology written progress reports that describe the actions
6	taken during the previous reporting period to implement the requirements of this Decree. The
7	progress reports shall include the following:
8	A. A list of on-site activities that have taken place during the reporting period;
9	B. Detailed description of any deviations from required tasks not otherwise
10	documented in writing and provided to Ecology;
11	C. Description of all deviations from the Scope of Work and Schedule (Exhibit C)
12	during the current reporting period and any planned deviations in the upcoming reporting
13	period;
14	D. For any deviations in schedule, a plan for recovering lost time and maintaining
15	compliance with the schedule;
16	E. All raw data (including laboratory analyses) received by Lehigh during the pas
17	reporting period and an identification of the source of the sample; and
18	F. A list of deliverables for the upcoming reporting period if different from the
19	Scope of Work and Schedule (Exhibit C).
20	2. Progress reports shall be submitted to Ecology in accordance with the following
21	schedule:
22	A. Monthly, during construction of the cleanup action;
23	B. Quarterly, beginning with initial start-up of the groundwater treatment system
24	(start of the Optimization Phase); and
25	C. Annually, beginning July 10 of the fifth year after initial start-up of the
26	groundwater treatment system (three years after completing the Optimization Phase).

3. All progress reports shall be submitted by the tenth (10th) day of the reporting period in which they are due after the effective date of this Decree. Unless otherwise specified, progress reports and any other documents submitted pursuant to this Decree shall be sent either by certified mail, return receipt requested, or by commercial delivery service, signature required upon receipt, to Ecology's project coordinator.

XII. RETENTION OF RECORDS

During the pendency of this Decree and for ten (10) years from the date this Decree is no longer in effect as provided in Section XXVIII, Lehigh shall preserve all records, reports, documents, and underlying data in its possession that are relevant and material to the implementation of this Decree. Lehigh shall insert a similar record retention requirement into all contracts with project contractors and subcontractors. Upon request of Ecology, Lehigh shall make all non-privileged records available to Ecology and allow access for review within a reasonable time.

XIII. TRANSFER OF INTEREST IN PROPERTY

No voluntary conveyance or relinquishment of title, easement, leasehold, or other interest in any portion of the Site shall be consummated by Lehigh without provision for continued operation and maintenance of any containment system, treatment system, and monitoring system installed or implemented pursuant to this Decree.

Prior to Lehigh's transfer of any interest in all or any portion of the Site, during the effective period of this Decree, Lehigh shall serve a copy of this Decree upon the prospective purchaser, lessee, transferee, assignee, or other successor in said interest; and, at least thirty (30) days prior to any transfer, Lehigh shall notify Ecology of said transfer. In any instrument that transfers any interest in the Site, Lehigh shall include a provision stating that the property is subject to the restrictions on the use of the property contained in this Decree, including all attachments thereto.

3	other decis
4	resolution
5	(1)
6	fourteen (
7	objection t
8	(2)
9	dispute. If
10	Ecology's
11	(3)
12	request sha
13	Manager v
14	(4)
15	conduct a
16	minimum)
17	Program S
18	days of Le
19	(5)
20	Manager's
21	decision.
22	within fou
23	(6)
24	dispute, ar
25	to resolve
26	
l	I

XIV. RESOLUTION OF DISPUTES

- A. In the event a dispute arises as to an approval, disapproval, proposed change, or other decision or action by Ecology's project coordinator, the Parties shall utilize the dispute resolution procedure set forth below.
- (1) Upon receipt of the Ecology project coordinator's written decision, Lehigh has fourteen (14) days within which to notify Ecology's project coordinator in writing of its objection to the decision.
- (2) The Parties' project coordinators shall then confer in an effort to resolve the dispute. If the project coordinators cannot resolve the dispute within fourteen (14) days, Ecology's project coordinator shall issue a written decision.
- (3) Lehigh may then request regional management review of the decision. This request shall be submitted in writing to the Eastern Regional Toxics Cleanup Program Section Manager within fourteen (14) days of receipt of Ecology's project coordinator's decision.
- (4) Ecology's Eastern Regional Toxics Cleanup Program Section Manager shall conduct a review of the dispute, and shall, if requested, speak with Lehigh (telephonically, at a minimum) in an effort to resolve the dispute. Ecology's Eastern Regional Toxics Cleanup Program Section Manager shall issue a written decision regarding the dispute within thirty (30) days of Lehigh's request for review.
- (5) If Lehigh finds Ecology's Eastern Regional Toxics Cleanup Program Section Manager's decision unacceptable, Lehigh may then request final management review of the decision. This request shall be submitted in writing to the Toxics Cleanup Program Manager within fourteen (14) days of receipt of the Regional Section Manager's decision.
- (6) Ecology's Toxics Cleanup Program Manager shall conduct a review of the dispute, and shall, if requested, speak with Lehigh (telephonically, at a minimum) in an effort to resolve the dispute. Ecology's Toxics Cleanup Program Manager shall issue a written

decision regarding the dispute within thirty (30) days of Lehigh's request for review. The Program Manager's decision shall be Ecology's final decision on the disputed matter.

- B. If Ecology's final written decision is unacceptable to Lehigh, Lehigh has the right to submit the dispute to the Court for resolution. The Parties agree that one judge should retain jurisdiction over this case and shall, as necessary, resolve any dispute arising under this Decree. In the event Lehigh presents an issue to the Court for review, the Court shall review any remedial or investigative action or decision of Ecology on the basis of whether such action or decision was arbitrary and capricious, or, if the Court determines that that another standard of review is appropriate, that Ecology's action or decision was not in accord with such standard.
- C. The Parties agree to only utilize the dispute resolution process in good faith and agree to expedite, to the extent possible, the dispute resolution process whenever it is used. Where either Party utilizes the dispute resolution process in bad faith or for purposes of delay, the other Party may seek sanctions.
- D. Implementation of these dispute resolution procedures shall not provide a basis for delay of any activities required in this Decree, unless Ecology agrees in writing to a schedule extension or the Court so orders.
- E. During the dispute resolution process, Lehigh may submit for Ecology's consideration any data, reports, or other documents it considers relevant to the dispute.

XV. AMENDMENT OF CONSENT DECREE

Except as provided otherwise in Section VII with respect to minor changes in the work to be performed and Section XVI with respect to extensions of schedule that do not constitute a substantial change to this Decree, this Decree may only be amended by a written stipulation among the Parties that is entered by the Court, or by order of the Court. Such amendment shall become effective upon entry by the Court. Agreement to amend the Decree shall not be unreasonably withheld by any Party.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
_	П

Lehigh shall submit in writing any request for an amendment to Ecology for approval. Ecology shall indicate its approval or disapproval in writing in a timely manner after the request for amendment is received. If the amendment to the Decree represents a substantial change, Ecology will provide public notice and opportunity for comment. Reasons for the disapproval of a proposed amendment to the Decree shall be stated in writing. If Ecology does not agree to any proposed amendment, the disagreement may be addressed through the dispute resolution procedures described in Section XIV of this Decree.

XVI. EXTENSION OF SCHEDULE

- A. An extension of schedule shall be granted only when a request for an extension is submitted in a timely fashion, generally at least thirty (30) days prior to expiration of the deadline for which the extension is requested, and good cause exists for granting the extension. All extensions shall be requested in writing. The request shall specify:
 - (1) The deadline that is sought to be extended;
 - (2) The length of the extension sought;
 - (3) The reason(s) for the extension; and
- (4) Any related deadline or schedule that would be affected if the extension were granted.
- B. The burden shall be on Lehigh to demonstrate that the request for such extension has been submitted in a timely fashion and that good cause exists for granting the extension. Good cause includes, but is not limited to:
- (1) Circumstances beyond the reasonable control and despite the due diligence of Lehigh including delays caused by unrelated third parties or Ecology, such as (but not limited to) delays by Ecology in reviewing, approving, or modifying documents submitted by Lehigh; or
- (2) Acts of God, including fire, flood, blizzard, extreme temperatures, storm, or other unavoidable casualty such as war or act of terrorism; or

1	(3) Endangerment as described in Section XVII.	
2	However, neither increased costs of performance of the terms of the Decree nor	
3	changed economic circumstances shall be considered circumstances beyond the reasonable	
4	control of Lehigh.	
5	C. Ecology shall act upon any written request for extension in a timely fashion.	
6	Ecology shall give Lehigh written notification in a timely fashion of any extensions granted	
7	pursuant to this Decree. A requested extension shall not be effective until approved by	
8	Ecology or, if required, by the Court. Unless the extension is a substantial change, it shall not	
9	be necessary to amend this Decree pursuant to Section XV when a schedule extension is	
10	granted.	
11	D. An extension shall only be granted for such period as Ecology determines is	
12	reasonable under the circumstances. Ecology may grant schedule extensions exceeding ninety	
13	(90) days only as a result of:	
14	(1) Delays in the issuance of a necessary permit which was applied for in a timely	
15	manner; or	
16	(2) Other circumstances deemed exceptional or extraordinary by Ecology; or	
17	(3) Endangerment as described in Section XVII.	
18	XVII. ENDANGERMENT	
19	If, for any reason, Ecology determines that any activity being performed at the Site is	
20	creating or has the potential to create a danger to human health or the environment, Ecology	
21	may direct Lehigh to cease such activities for such period of time as it deems necessary to	
22	abate the danger. Lehigh shall immediately comply with such direction.	
23	If, for any reason, Lehigh determines that any activity being performed at the Site is	
24	creating or has the potential to create a danger to human health or the environment, Lehigh	
25	may cease such activities. Lehigh shall notify Ecology's project coordinator as soon as	
26		

5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

2

3

4

possible, but no later than twenty-four (24) hours after making such determination or ceasing such activities. Upon Ecology's direction, Lehigh shall provide Ecology with documentation of the basis for the determination or cessation of such activities. If Ecology disagrees with Lehigh's cessation of activities, it may direct Lehigh to resume such activities.

If Ecology concurs with or orders a work stoppage pursuant to this section, Lehigh's obligations with respect to the ceased activities shall be suspended until Ecology determines the danger is abated, and the time for performance of such activities, as well as the time for any other work dependent upon such activities, shall be extended, in accordance with Section XVI, for such period of time as Ecology determines is reasonable under the circumstances.

Nothing in this Decree shall limit the authority of Ecology, its employees, agents, or contractors to take or require appropriate action in the event of an emergency.

XVIII. COVENANT NOT TO SUE

A. Covenant Not to Sue: In consideration of Lehigh's compliance with the terms and conditions of this Decree, Ecology covenants not to institute legal or administrative actions against Lehigh regarding the release or threatened release of hazardous substances covered by this Decree.

This Decree covers only the Site specifically identified in Exhibit A and those hazardous substances that Ecology knows are located at the Site as of the date of entry of this Decree. This Decree does not cover any other hazardous substance or area. Ecology retains all of its authority relative to any substance or area not covered by this Decree.

This Covenant Not to Sue shall have no applicability whatsoever to:

- (1) Criminal liability;
- (2) Liability for damages to natural resources;
- (3) Any Ecology action, including cost recovery, against potentially liable persons not a party to this Decree.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

If factors not known to Ecology at the time of entry of the settlement agreement are discovered and present a previously unknown threat to human health and the environment, the Court shall amend this covenant not to sue.

- B. Reopeners: Pursuant to RCW 70.105D.050, Ecology specifically reserves the right to institute legal or administrative action against Lehigh to require it to perform additional remedial actions at the Site, and to pursue appropriate cost recovery, under the following circumstances. Lehigh specifically reserves the right to assert any and all defenses to such action.
- (1) Upon Lehigh's failure to meet the requirements of this Decree, including, but not limited to, failure of the remedial action to meet the cleanup standards identified in the CAP (Exhibit B);
- (2) Upon Ecology's determination that remedial action beyond the terms of this Decree is necessary to abate an imminent and substantial endangerment to human health or the environment;
- (3) Upon the availability of new information regarding factors previously unknown to Ecology, including the nature or quantity of hazardous substances at the Site, that present a previously unknown threat to human health or the environment, and Ecology's determination, in light of this information, that further remedial action is necessary at the Site to protect human health or the environment; or
- (4) Upon Ecology's determination that additional remedial actions are necessary to achieve cleanup standards within the reasonable restoration timeframe set forth in the CAP.
- C. Except in the case of an emergency, prior to instituting legal or administrative action against Lehigh pursuant to paragraph B. above, Ecology shall provide Lehigh with thirty (30) days advance notice of such action.

25

XIX. CONTRIBUTION PROTECTION

With regard to claims for contribution against Lehigh, the Parties agree that Lehigh is entitled to protection against claims for contribution for matters addressed in this Decree as provided by RCW 70.105D.040(4)(d). For the purposes of this section only, "matters addressed" include all remedial actions undertaken at the Site pursuant to this Decree. "Matters addressed" also include all remedial actions previously undertaken at the Site to characterize the contamination or to enable the selection of a cleanup action, and all oversight costs paid to Ecology.

XX. LAND USE RESTRICTIONS

Because institutional controls are required at the Site pursuant to WAC 173-340-440(4), Lehigh agrees that a Restrictive Covenant (Exhibit F, Declaration of Covenant) shall be recorded with the office of the Pend Oreille County Auditor within sixty (60) days after Lehigh notifies Ecology that construction of the cleanup action is complete. The Restrictive Covenant shall restrict future uses of the Site. Lehigh will provide Ecology with a copy of the recorded Restrictive Covenant within ten (10) days of the recording date.

XXI. FINANCIAL ASSURANCE

A. Pursuant to WAC 173-340-440(11) and consistent with WAC 173-303-64620, Lehigh shall maintain sufficient and adequate financial assurance mechanisms to cover all costs associated with the operation and maintenance of the remedial action at the Site as set forth in the CAP and its attachments, including institutional controls, compliance monitoring, and corrective measures. In the absence of final regulations governing financial assurance for corrective action, the Financial Assurance for Corrective Action Proposed Rule, 51 FR 37853 (October 24, 1986), the financial assurance provisions of Corrective Action for Releases from Solid Waste Management Units Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 61 FR 19432 (May 1, 1996), the Interim Guidance on Financial Assurance for Facilities Subject to RCRA Corrective Action (U.S. EPA, September 30, 2003), and the financial assurance provisions of

the Corrective Action for Solid Waste Management Units at Hazardous Waste Management Facilities, 55 FR 30798 (July 27, 1990) may be used as guidance. Acceptable mechanisms include trust funds, surety bonds guaranteeing performance, letters of credit, insurance, the financial test, and corporate guarantee or another instrument if Lehigh demonstrates to the satisfaction of Ecology that another instrument provides an acceptable level of financial assurance.

- B. Within sixty (60) days of the effective date of this Decree, Lehigh shall submit to Ecology for review and approval an estimate of the costs that it will incur in carrying out the terms of this Decree, including operation, maintenance, and compliance monitoring. Lehigh also shall include in its submittal an updated estimate of its post-closure care costs, as provided in WAC 173-303-400(3)(c)(vi) and WAC 173-303-620(5). Within sixty (60) days after Ecology approves the aforementioned cost estimate, Lehigh shall provide proof of financial assurance sufficient to cover all such remedial action and post-closure care costs in a form acceptable to Ecology. The provisions of Section XXI of this Decree shall stand in lieu of any other requirement for Lehigh to provide proof of financial assurance for post-closure care or to update the estimated costs of providing post-closure care for the Closed CKD Pile.
- C. In subsequent years, Lehigh shall adjust the financial assurance coverage annually in accordance with the schedule set by Ecology, in which regulated entities provide Financial Assurance by March 31. Lehigh will provide Ecology's project manager with documentation of the updated financial assurance to reflect:
 - (1) Inflation; and
- (2) Changes in cost estimates based on the completion of specific remedial actions or post-closure care tasks.
- D. In addition, Lehigh shall adjust cost estimates within thirty (30) days of incorporation into this Decree of any modification or revision to the CAP that result in significant increases to the cost or expected duration of remedial actions. Any adjustments for

1	inflation sinc	e the most rec	ent preceding anniversary date shall be made concurrent with
2	adjustments for changes in cost estimates.		
3	E.	Lehigh shall	notify Ecology's project coordinator and Ecology's financial
4	assurance of	ficer by certifie	ed mail of the commencement of a voluntary or involuntary
5	bankruptcy proceeding under Title 11, United States Code, naming Lehigh as debtor, within		
6	ten (10) days after commencement of the proceeding. A guarantor of a corporate guarantee		
7	must make such a notification if he is named as debtor as required under the terms of the		
8	corporate guarantee.		
9	F.	Once Lehigh	has established financial assurance for remedial action and
10	post-closure care with an acceptable mechanism, mentioned above, Lehigh will be deemed to		
11	be without the required financial assurance:		
12	(1)	In the event of	bankruptcy of the trustee or issuing institution; or
13	(2)	The authority	of the trustee institution to act as trustee has been suspended or
14	revoked; or		
15	(3)	The authority	of the institution issuing the surety bond, letter or credit, or
16	insurance pol	icy has been sus	pended or revoked.
17	G.	In the event o	f bankruptcy of the trustee or a suspension or revocation of the
18	authority of the trustee institution to act as a trustee, Lehigh must establish financial assurance		
19	by any means specified in WAC 173-303-620 within sixty (60) days after such an event.		
20	H.	Ecology's fina	ncial assurance officer is:
21			Cimberly Goetz Department of Ecology
22		Н	lazardous Waste and Toxics Reduction Program O. Box 47600
23		O	Olympia, Washington 98504-7600 360) 407-6754
24		FAX: (3	360) 407-6715 goe461@ecy.wa.gov
25		2 man. <u>K</u>	goo for a coy.wa.gov

XXII. INDEMNIFICATION

Lehigh agrees to indemnify and save and hold the State of Washington, its employees, and agents harmless from any and all claims or causes of action for death or injuries to persons or for loss or damage to property to the extent arising from or on account of acts or omissions of Lehigh, its employees, or agents in entering into and implementing this Decree. However, Lehigh shall not indemnify the State of Washington, its employees, or agents, nor save nor hold any of them harmless, from any claims or causes of action for death or injuries to persons or for loss or damage to property to the extent arising from or on account of the negligent acts or omissions of the State of Washington, or the employees or agents of the State, in entering into or implementing this Decree.

XXIII. COMPLIANCE WITH APPLICABLE LAWS

- A. All actions carried out by Lehigh pursuant to this Decree shall be done in accordance with all applicable federal, state, and local requirements, including requirements to obtain necessary permits, except as provided in RCW 70.105D.090.
- B. Pursuant to RCW 70.105D.090(1), the substantive requirements of Chapters 70.94, 70.95, 70.105, 77.55 and 90.58 RCW and of any laws requiring or authorizing local government permits or approvals for the remedial action under this Decree that are known to be applicable at the time of entry of the Decree have been included in Exhibit B, the CAP, and are binding and enforceable requirements of the Decree.

Lehigh has a continuing obligation to determine whether additional permits or approvals addressed in RCW 70.105D.090(1) would otherwise be required for the remedial action under this Decree. In the event either Lehigh or Ecology determines that additional permits or approvals addressed in RCW 70.105D.090(1) would otherwise be required for the remedial action under this Decree, it shall promptly notify the other party of this determination. Ecology shall determine whether Ecology or Lehigh shall be responsible to contact the appropriate state and/or local agencies. If Ecology so requires, Lehigh shall promptly consult

FAX (360) 586-6760

with the appropriate state and/or local agencies and provide Ecology with written documentation from those agencies of the substantive requirements those agencies believe are applicable to the remedial action. Ecology shall make the final determination on the additional substantive requirements that must be met by Lehigh and on how Lehigh must meet those requirements. Ecology shall inform Lehigh in writing of these requirements. Once established by Ecology, the additional requirements shall be enforceable requirements of this Decree. Lehigh shall not begin or continue the remedial action potentially subject to the additional requirements until Ecology makes its final determination.

Ecology shall ensure that notice and opportunity for comment is provided to the public and appropriate agencies prior to establishing the substantive requirements under this section.

C. Pursuant to RCW 70.105D.090(2), in the event Ecology determines that the exemption from complying with the procedural requirements of the laws referenced in RCW 70.105D.090(1) would result in the loss of approval from a federal agency which is necessary for the state to administer any federal law, the exemption shall not apply and Lehigh shall comply with both the procedural and substantive requirements of the laws referenced in RCW 70.105D.090(1), including any requirements to obtain permits.

XXIV. REMEDIAL AND INVESTIGATIVE COSTS

Lehigh agrees to pay costs incurred by Ecology pursuant to this Decree and consistent with WAC 173-340-550(2). These costs shall include work performed by Ecology or its contractors for, or on, the Site under Chapter 70.105D RCW, including remedial actions and Decree preparation, negotiations, oversight, and administration. These costs shall include work performed subsequent to the entry of this Decree. In addition, Lehigh shall pay Ecology \$______ (this amount will be updated before the Decree takes effect), which the Parties agree represent all costs incurred prior to the entry of this Decree for which Lehigh has not previously reimbursed Ecology. Ecology costs shall include costs of direct activities and

support costs of direct activities as defined in WAC 173-340-550(2). Lehigh agrees to pay the required amount within ninety (90) days of receiving from Ecology an itemized statement of costs that includes a summary of costs incurred, an identification of involved staff, and the amount of time spent by involved staff members on the project. A general statement of work performed will be provided upon request. Itemized statements shall be prepared quarterly. Pursuant to WAC 173-340-550(4), failure to pay Ecology's costs within ninety (90) days of receipt of the itemized statement will result in interest charges at the rate of twelve percent (12%) per annum, compounded monthly.

XXV. IMPLEMENTATION OF REMEDIAL ACTION

If Ecology determines that Lehigh has failed without good cause to implement the requirements of this Decree, in whole or in part, Ecology may, after reasonable notice to Lehigh, perform any or all such requirements of the Decree that remain incomplete. If Ecology performs all or portions of the Decree because of Lehigh's failure to comply with its obligations under this Decree, Lehigh shall reimburse Ecology for the costs of doing such work in accordance with Section XXIV of this Decree, provided that Lehigh is not obligated under this section to reimburse Ecology for costs incurred for work inconsistent with or beyond the scope of this Decree.

XXVI. PERIODIC REVIEW

As remedial action, including ground water monitoring, continues at the Site, the Parties agree to review the progress of remedial action at the Site, and to review the data accumulated as a result of monitoring the Site as often as is necessary and appropriate under the circumstances. At least every five years after initiation of cleanup action at the Site (start of construction), the Parties shall meet to discuss the status of the Site and the need, if any, for further remedial action at the Site. Ecology reserves the right to require further remedial action at the Site under the circumstances described in Section XVIII of this Decree. This provision

CONSENT DECREE

	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5

2

3

shall remain in effect for the duration of the Decree. A report, which addresses the review criteria in WAC 173-340-420, shall be submitted by Lehigh to Ecology ninety (90) days before every 5-year anniversary of the completion of construction.

XXVII. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

Ecology shall maintain the responsibility for public participation at the Site. However, Lehigh shall cooperate with Ecology, and shall:

- A. If agreed to by Ecology, prepare drafts of public notices and fact sheets at important stages of the remedial action, such as the submission of work plans and engineering design reports. As appropriate, Ecology will edit, finalize, and distribute such fact sheets and prepare and distribute public notices of Ecology's presentations and meetings;
- B. Notify Ecology's project coordinator prior to the preparation of all press releases and fact sheets, and before major meetings with the interested public and local governments. Likewise, Ecology shall notify Lehigh prior to the issuance of all press releases and fact sheets, and before major meetings with the interested public and local governments. For all press releases, fact sheets, meetings, and other outreach efforts by Lehigh that do not receive prior Ecology approval, Lehigh shall clearly indicate to its audience that the press release, fact sheet, meeting, or other outreach effort was not sponsored or endorsed by Ecology;
- C. Participate in public presentations on the progress of the remedial action at the Site. Participation may be through attendance at public meetings or public hearings to assist in answering questions, or as a presenter;
- D. In cooperation with Ecology, arrange and/or continue information repositories at the following locations:
- (1) Cutter Theater Building at 302 Park Street, Metaline Falls, WA 99153, (509) 446-3232.

(2) Ecology's Eastern Regional Office at 4601 North Monroe, Spokane, WA 99205-1296, (509) 329-3400.

At a minimum, copies of all public notices, fact sheets, and press releases; all quality assured monitoring data; remedial actions plans and reports, supplemental remedial planning documents, and all other similar documents relating to performance of the remedial action required by this Decree shall be promptly placed in these repositories.

XXVIII. DURATION OF DECREE

The remedial program required pursuant to the Decree shall be maintained and continued until Lehigh has received written notification from Ecology that the requirements of this Decree have been satisfactorily completed or until the Court determines that the requirements of this Decree have been satisfactorily completed. This Decree shall remain in effect until dismissed by this Court. When dismissed, Section XVIII, Covenant Not to Sue, and Section XIX, Contribution Protection, shall survive.

XXIX. CLAIMS AGAINST THE STATE

Lehigh hereby agrees that it will not seek to recover any costs accrued in implementing the remedial action required by this Decree from Ecology; and further, that Lehigh will make no claim against the State Toxics Control Account or any Local Toxics Control Account for any costs incurred in implementing this Decree. Except as provided above, however, Lehigh expressly reserves its right to seek to recover any costs incurred in implementing this Decree from any other potentially liable person.

XXX. EFFECTIVE DATE

This Decree is effective upon the date it is entered by the Court.

XXXI. PUBLIC NOTICE AND WITHDRAWAL OF CONSENT

This Decree has been the subject of public notice and comment under RCW 70.105D.040(4)(a). As a result of this process, Ecology has found that this Decree will lead to

CONSENT DECREE

1	a more expeditious cleanup of hazardous substances at the Site in compliance with the cleanup		
2	standards established under Chapter 173-340 WAC.		
3	If the Court withholds or withdraws its consent to this Decree, it shall be null and voice		
4	at the option of any party and the accompanyi	ng Complaint shall be dismissed without costs	
5	and without prejudice. In such an event, no party shall be bound by the requirements of this		
6	Decree.		
7 8	DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY	ROB McKENNA Attorney General	
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	JAMES J. PENDOWSKI Program Manager Toxics Cleanup Program Date: LEHIGH CEMENT COMPANY By: Title: Date: ENTERED this day of JU Per	ANDREW A. FITZ, WSBA #22169 Assistant Attorney General Date: 20 DGE and Oreille County Superior Court	
23 24 25 26	4 5		
20	,		